



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 3079 OF 2024

Suvarna Prakashchandra Sapkale
And Others

VERSUS

State Of Maharashtra Through
Its Principal Secretary And Others

...

Mr. M. S. Deshmukh, Advocate for the Petitioner
Ms. Neha Kamble, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2
Mr. P. R. Nangare, Advocate for Respondent No.3

...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
R. M. JOSHI, JJ
DATE : MARCH 20, 2024

PER COURT :

1. The learned Advocate representing the Zilla Parishad submits, on instructions, that appointment orders have been issued to the Petitioner Nos. 1, 2 and 4. The learned Advocate for the Petitioners is satisfied.

2. In so far as Petitioner No. 3 is concerned, the learned Advocate for the Zilla Parishad submits, on instructions, that as and when vacancies (all subject category) occur, though Petitioner No. 3 belongs to the scheduled tribe category, he would be issued with an appointment of order.

3. Needless to state, that these appointment orders are subject to the submission of validity certificates by these Petitioners, as mandated by law/procedure.

4. In view of the above, this Petition is disposed off.

(R. M. JOSHI, J)
Malani

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J)