



{1}

929.30 and 33 sr.nos..odt

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3502 OF 2024

ASHWINI BAHUUDDESHIY SHAIKSHANIK SEVABHAVI
PRATISHTHAN AURANGABAD THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND
ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND
OTHERS

...
Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Dambe Santosh S.
AGP for Respondents: Mr. K.S. Patil.

and

930 WRIT PETITION NO. 3503 OF 2024

RAJMATA BAHUUDDESHIYA MANDAL THROUGH ITS
SECRETARY JAGDISH BHIKANRAO SURYAWANSHI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND
OTHERS

...
Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Dambe Santosh S.
AGP for Respondents: Mr. S.J. Salgare.

And

WRIT PETITION NO. 3527 OF 2024

UNNATI SHAIKSHAN SANSTHA CHH SAMBHAJINAGAR
THROUGH ITS SECRETARY PRESIDENT AND NOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND
OTHERS

...
Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Nitin Trimbak Tribhuwan
AGP for Respondents: Mr. P.S. Patil.

{2}

929.30 and 33 sr.nos..odt

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI
& S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 5TH APRIL, 2024.

P.C. :-

1. Petitions in this group have been filed for direction to the respondents/authorities to grant additional 20% grant-in-aid to Class 5th and 7th run by the school in view of Government Resolution dated 12th February, 2021 in some cases and Government Resolution dated 15th February, 2021 in some cases. Prima facie, it appears that the proposals by the petitioners are still pending with respondent No.1 and if they are still pending, directions are required to be issued to decide them in time bound manner and therefore, for the ready reference, following are the Writ Petitions of which details are given as under :-

Sr. No.	Writ Petition No.	Name of the Parties	Date of Proposal	G.R. Date.
1.	W.P. 3502 of 2024	Ashwini Bahuuddeshiya Shikshan Sevabhavi Pratishthan, Aurangabad	12.03.2021	15.02.2021
2.	W.P. 3503 of 2024	Rajmata Jijau Bahuuddeshiya Mandal, Chalisgaon	12.03.2021	15.02.2021
3.	W.P. 3527 of 2004	Unnati Shikshan Sanstha Chh. Sambhajinagar	08.03.2021	15.02.2021

2. Learned AGP points out that some petitions have been filed by the employees and not by the management, who might have filed proposals. Be that as it may, the proposals which are before respondent No.1 should be decided as per policy in view of decision in Writ Petition

{3}

929.30 and 33 sr.nos..odt

No. 563 of 2015 by the Division Bench of this Court dated 21st February, 2019 and also any other decision by this Court or Hon'ble Supreme Court. The proposals so pending be decided by respondent No.1 within a period of two months from today.

3. In view of this direction, writ petitions stand allowed and disposed of.

[S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.] [SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.]

grt/-