



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

136 WRIT PETITION NO. 3322 OF 2023

SONALI RAMA TARADE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Wagh Shrinivas S.

Addl.G.P for Respondent No. 1 : Mr. A.R.Kale

Advocate for Respondent no. 2 : Mr. V.P. Narwade

Advocate for Respondent no. 3 : Mr. S.V. Dixit

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &  
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.

DATE : 19.08.2024

PER COURT:

Heard.

2. Petitioner's proposal seeking approval to her appointment came to be rejected by respondent No.2 –Education Officer (Primary), Zilla Parishad, Ahmednagar, vide communication/order dated 14.03.2022 (Exh. 'G'), only on the ground that the procedure as contemplated by the Government Resolution dated 23.06.2017 was not followed.

3. We have already taken a view by **order dated 10.06.2024 in Writ Petition No. 13150 of 2022** in the matter of **Shaikh Jaweria Khadarsab Vs. The State of Maharashtra and others** that the Education Officer/Deputy Director of Education has to conduct objective scrutiny of the proposal and it cannot be rejected only on the ground that procedure as contemplated by the Government Resolution was not followed.

4. In that view of the matter, we dispose of the petition by

quashing the communication dated 14.03.2022 and directing the respondent No.2–Education Officer (Primary), Zilla Parishad, Ahmednagar, to reconsider the proposal of the petitioner for grant of approval to her appointment on its own merits. The decision shall be taken as expeditiously as possible, and in any case within a period of four (04) weeks from today. However, same shall not be rejected on the grounds mentioned in the impugned communication.

**(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)**

**(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)**

mkd/-