



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

58 WRIT PETITION NO. 3141 OF 2024

J.K. CONSTRUCTION AND COMPANY THROUGH ITS PARTNER JAFAR
KHAN USMAN KHAN

VERSUS

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Shailesh S. Gangakhedkar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. D. R. Korde, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 to 3 – Sttae.

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL AND
SHAILESH P BRAHME, JJ.

DATE : 1 JULY 2024

ORDER :-

Learned Advocate for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that the purpose of filing the writ petition is served in as much as the work orders have been issued. He places on record two work orders dated 11.12.2023 and 29.06.2024. However, the learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that as regards the first work order is concerned, clause No.4 may come in his way. He, therefore, submits that the petitioner may be given liberty to resort to the appropriate remedies, if necessary.

2. Writ Petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME]
JUDGE

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE

scm