



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

**904 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 983 OF 2024**

IN WP/226/2023

WITH

**CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 226 OF 2023**

SMT. MEENA W/O. SHASHIKANT GOLE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Suresh Madhusudan Kulkarni.

APP for Respondent/State : Mrs. Pratibha J. Bharad.

Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. R. R. Totala.

...

AND

**905 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 984 OF 2024**

IN WP/232/2023

WITH

**CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 232 OF 2023**

SMT. MEENA W/O. SHASHIKANT GOLE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Suresh Madhusudan Kulkarni.

APP for Respondent/State : Mrs. Pratibha J. Bharad.

Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. R. R. Totala.

...

AND

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 985 OF 2024**

IN WP/233/2023

WITH

**CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 233 OF 2023**

SMT. MEENA W/O. SHASHIKANT GOLE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Suresh Madhusudan Kulkarni.

APP for Respondent/State : Mrs. Pratibha J. Bharad.

Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. R. R. Totala.

...

AND

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 986 OF 2024**

**IN WP/234/2023**

WITH

**CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 234 OF 2023**

**SMT. MEENA W/O. SHASHIKANT GOLE**

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Suresh Madhusudan Kulkarni.

APP for Respondent/State : Mrs. Pratibha J. Bharad.

Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. R. R. Totala.

...

**CORAM : SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.**

**DATE : 05<sup>th</sup> March, 2024.**

**P.C.:**

1 The learned counsel for applicants pointed out that inadvertently the relief regarding order dated 22<sup>nd</sup> November, 2019 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Nandurbar in Summery Criminal Case Nos.1010, 1011, 1012 and 1013 of 2019, for quashing the same was not prayed for.

2 The learned counsel for applicants pointed out that there is pleading regarding that order in the writ petitions.

3 The learned counsel for respondent has strong reservation. He submitted that illegal contentions are raised. It is lastly prayed to reject these applications.

4 Perused the applications and the pleadings in each of the case. Considering the fact that there is reference regarding the order dated 22<sup>nd</sup> November, 2019, in the interest of justice, these applications deserve to be allowed. The same are allowed in terms of prayer clause (B). Amendment be carried out.

5 List the writ petitions on 13<sup>th</sup> March, 2024 **for passing orders.**

**[ SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J. ]**

*nga*