



{1}

970 sr.no..odt

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2252 OF 2023

**M/S SIDDHIVINAYAK INFRASTRUCTURE THROUGH ITS PARTNER
APPASAHEB PUNJARAM KOLTE**

....Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA THROUGH THE SECRETARY AND OTHERS

.....Respondent

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. P.R. Katneshwarkar i/b. Mr.Mahesh P. Kale

Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 : Mr. R.R. Bangar.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2262 OF 2023.

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI
& S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 2ND MAY, 2024.

P.C. :-

1. We have heard Mr. Katneshwarkar holding for Mr. M.P. Kale in both the matters for considerable time. Lateron, learned advocate for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of both the petitions contending that in the agreement there is a clause for arbitration and even civil suit can lie. He submits, on instructions, that he may be permitted to withdraw the petitions to exhaust the other remedy.

2. In view of the said statement, petitions are allowed to be withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

[S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J]

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J]

grt/-