



(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

917 WRIT PETITION NO. 1083 OF 2024

DNYANOBA RANGNATH MUNDE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY
AND OTHERS

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1716 OF 2024

IN WP/1083/2024

ROUNAK INDUSTRIES THR HIS REPRESENTATIVE MAHESH
HARISHANKAR GAUTAM

VERSUS

DNYANOBA RANGNATH MUNDE AND ORS

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2080 OF 2024

IN WP/1083/2024

ROUNAK INDUSTRIES THR HIS REPRESENTATIVE
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY
AND OTHERS

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2079 OF 2024

IN WP/1083/2024

M/S T.P. SAURYA LTD., THR ITS REPRESENTATIVE
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY
AND OTHERS

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2078 OF 2024

IN WP/1083/2024

M/S T.P. SAURYA LTD., THR ITS REPRESENTATIVE
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY
AND OTHERS

(2)

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2889 OF 2024
IN WP/1083/2024
DNYANOBA RANGNATH MUNDE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY
AND OTHERS

....

Mr G. V. Wani, Advocate for Petitioner;
Mr S. K. Tambe, A.G.P. for Respondent No.1
Mr K. D. Khade and Mr Shreyash Sharma, Advocates h/f Mr R.
R. Totala, Advocate for Respondent/Intervenor
Mr A. M. Gaikwad, Advocate for Respondent Nos.2 & 3

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
R. M. JOSHI, JJ.**

DATE : 15th March, 2024

PER COURT:

1. The admitted facts as they stand today, are that, a tower at the place earmarked has been erected. The tower was never to be erected in the land of the present Petitioner. The stringing of the wires connecting the towers has already been completed. When stringing was done, there were no crops standing in the land of the Petitioner. In these circumstances, there is no hindrance for the project to proceed as per its plan.

(3)

2. Insofar as the Civil Applications filed for addition of the parties and the Petitioner's desire to amend the Petition, we have heard all the learned Advocates for the respective sides. **All these Civil Applications are allowed.** The Petitioner shall add the Intervenors as Respondents in the Writ Petition.

WRIT PETITION

3. Issue notices in the Writ Petition to the added Respondents. Notices are waived by all the learned Advocates on behalf of the Intervenors/added Respondents.

4. Insofar as the two orders passed by the District Collector, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar, both dated 28/02/2024, in Case No.2024/Home/CR-01 and Case No. 2024/Home/CR-02/24, we would consider the submissions of the learned Advocates for the respective sides, on the next date.

5. The learned Advocate Shri. Gaikwad was requested to address the Court since he is on the Panel of the Electricity Transmission Company. He waives service and submits that, he would collect the copies of the Petition paper book and address the Court on the next date by taking instructions.

(4)

6. As such, stand over to 05/04/2024 in the 'urgent orders' category.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

sjk