



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2004 OF 2024

UJJWALA RAGHUNATH DIKALE
VERSUS
THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SUPPLY AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Umakant B. Deshmukh
AGP for Respondents No.1 to 3 : Mrs. M. L. Sangit
...

CORAM : S. G. MEHARE, J.

DATE : 21-02-2024

PER COURT:-

1. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.G.P. for respondents No.1 to 3.
2. This petition has been filed against the order granting *ex parte* stay by the learned Deputy Commissioner (Supply), Divisional Commissioner Office, dated 15.04.2021.
3. It was an interim order. The petitioner has the statutory remedy to appear before the Authority and point out to the authorities how the impugned order is illegal. The petitioner has the right to say against the said order. However, the petitioner opted for the wrong forum. The High Court should refrain from exercising the extraordinary jurisdiction where the statutory

remedy is available. The statutory remedy is available to the petitioner. Hence, the petition stands dismissed *in limine*.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner requests that Authority be directed to expedite the matter. There is nothing on record that the Authority was not acting upon and decided to entertain the petition, and so it would be an unnecessary burden on the Authority to decide the matter expeditiously. The authorities are already burdened with a number of matters. Priority is given to the old matters. Perhaps the petitioner may have filed this petition with an ulterior motive to expedite the petition, knowing the statutory remedy available to him. the matter. Hence, prayer is rejected.

(S. G. MEHARE)
JUDGE

rrd