



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

908 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3132 OF 2023  
IN WP/10109/2016

TAYAR ABDUL PATEL AND OTHERS

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr. B.R. Waramaa, Advocate for applicants

Mr. A.S. Shinde, AGP for respondent Nos.1 to 4

Mr. G.A. Nagori, Advocate for respondent No.5

...

CORAM : S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.

DATE : 13<sup>th</sup> JUNE, 2024

**ORDER :**

1           This Court after considering rival submissions in the Civil Application, passed detailed order dated 29.11.2023 granting stay to the operation of order dated 31.01.2023 passed by District Supply Officer, who was further directed to file his personal affidavit explaining the circumstances leading to the order dated 31.01.2023. In deference to said order, an affidavit is filed explaining circumstances leading to such order. It appears that because of lack of knowledge as regards to the procedural aspects and inadvertence order dated 31.01.2023 has been passed de-horse interim

orders passed by this Court.

2           The mistake appears to be *bona fide* as explained. Therefore, the explanation tendered is accepted. So far as second prayer in the application is concerned, it is submitted that the applicants may be permitted to produce the documents pertaining to subsequent events in the form of amendments to the writ petition in paragraph No.6-A, 6-B, 7-IX, 7-X, 12-AA and 12-BB.

3           Considering the submissions advanced there is no impediment in granting permission to amend above referred paragraphs and file on record supporting documents. Consequently, the civil application stands allowed and disposed in terms of prayer clause 'B' and 'C'.

( S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR )  
JUDGE

agd