



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.2007 OF 2024

PRADNYA GANGARAM BHANDARE ALIAS
PRADNYA W/O RAJESH SONKAMBLE
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH
ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Panpatte V. S.
AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. A.R. Kale
Advocate for respondent No.2 : Mr. U.S. Mote
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. Tele Ashok B.

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.

DATE : 19.08.2024

PER COURT:

Heard.

2. Petitioner's proposal seeking approval to her appointment came to be rejected by respondent No.3 – Deputy Director of Education, Latur Division, Latur vide communication dated 30.05.2023 only on the ground that the procedure as contemplated by the Government Resolution dated 23.06.2017 was not followed.

3. We have already taken a view by **order dated 10.06.2024 in Writ Petition No. 13150 of 2022** in the matter of **Shaikh Jaweria Khadarsab Vs. The State of Maharashtra and others** that the Education Officer/Deputy Director of Education has to conduct objective scrutiny of

the proposal and it cannot be rejected only on the ground that procedure as contemplated by the Government Resolution was not followed.

4. In that view of the matter, we dispose of the petition by quashing the communication dated 30.05.2023 and directing the respondent No.3 – Deputy Director of Education, Latur Division, Latur to reconsider the proposal of the petitioner for grant of approval to her appointment on its own merits. The decision shall be taken as expeditiously as possible and in any case within a period of four (04) weeks from today. However, same shall not be rejected on the grounds mentioned in the impugned communication.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

habeeb