



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 243 OF 2024

Shrikant Nandlal Navandar
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra and another

.....

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Aashish T. Jadhavar
APP for Respondents: Mr. S.P. Sonpawale
Advocate to assist the A.P.P. : Mr. Rohit Patwardhan h/f Mr.
Satej S. Jadhav and Mr.A.H. Qureshi

.....

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.
DATED : 25th JUNE, 2024.

PER COURT :-

1. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that during the course of investigation of this crime, it is found that the name of the applicant was wrongly mentioned in the F.I.R. and in the charge sheet his name has not been mentioned. Hence, requested to pass the appropriate order. Learned A.P.P. tendered report of the Investigating Officer and submitted that appropriate order be passed.

2. I have heard both the learned counsel. Perused the report given by the investigating officer, P.S.I. Gangapur police station. After going through the same, it appears that no offence is found against the applicant and hence his name has been excluded from the charge sheet. The report submitted by the investigating officer is

taken on record and marked as "X" for identification. As the name of the applicant has not been mentioned in the charge sheet as accused, the application is disposed of accordingly.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)

rlj/