

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

41 CRA NO. 38 OF 2018
WITH
CRA NO. 41 OF 2018

VIJAY RAMRAO INGOLE PATIL
VERSUS
PRAKASH UTTAMRAO KULKARNI

...
Advocate for the Petitioner :Mr. J.R. Nawale h/f Mr.
V.D.Salunke
Advocate for Respondent : Mr. S.V.Natu
...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 20th FEBRUARY, 2024.

PER COURT :

1. During the pendency of both these Civil Revision Applications the settlement took place between the rival parties and they entered into the terms of compromise of which both of them filed on record. This court thereafter directed the learned Registrar (Judicial) of this Court to verify the contents of the terms of compromise through the parties and to submit the report. Accordingly the learned Registrar (Judicial) of this Court submitted his report dated 20.02.2024 mentioning that both the parties have voluntarily entered into the aforesaid compromise

terms and admitted the contents of the same.

2. On going through the terms of compromise, which are taken on record and now marked as 'X' for identification, it appears that the petitioner in both these applications is the tenant of the suit premises. He has accepted the impugned judgment and decree of both Courts below. Only he is requesting to the present Respondent/Landlord to allow him to reside in the suit premises till certain period i.e. till 31.12.2028, on monthly rent of Rs. 1200/-. The Respondent/Landlord has agreed for the same on the conditions mentioned in the compromise terms. As such, in view of the report of learned Registrar (Judicial) of this Court the consent terms are marked as 'X' and are accepted. A decree in terms of aforesaid compromise be drawn. Accordingly, both the applications stand disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

mahajansb/