

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 554 OF 2024

Vijay Babanrao Ingale

VERSUS

Sunita Vijay Ingale And Another

...

Advocate for Applicant : Ms. Seema Gaikwad h/f Mr. Gaikwad
Pramod S.

...

CORAM : S.G. MEHARE, J.

DATED : OCTOBER 08, 2024

PER COURT:-

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant. None present for the non-applicants.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that there was no deliberate delay in preferring the revision belatedly. The reasons mentioned in the application for delay are plausible. Hence, the delay may be condoned. The application is neither opposed orally nor by affidavit in reply.
3. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the delay caused in preferring the revision could be condoned. Hence, the following order :

ORDER

- (i) The criminal application is allowed.
- (ii) The delay caused in preferring the revision is condoned.

(2)

- (iii) Registry to register the revision according to the law.
- (iv) After registration, issue notice to the respondents.
- (v) Stand over to 12.11.2024.

(S.G. MEHARE, J.)

Mujaheed//