



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 222 OF 2024

Sanjay Bajirao Markad

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. Respondents

Ms. Sunita Warang, Advocate h/f Mr. S. R. Mishra, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri G. A. Kulkarni, A.P.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL AND
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : 24 APRIL 2024.

ORDER :

. Motion is made for speaking to minutes in respect of order dated 20 March 2024.

2. We have heard both the sides.

3. It appears that writ petition was disposed of by observing that the petitioner would have remedy as is indicated in the matter of **Vinubhai Hirabhai Malviya Vs. State of Gujrat, (2019) 17 SC 1** in paragraph No. 3 of the order. However, in paragraph No. 2, the prayers from the writ petition were to be incorporated. The order was dictated in open Court by going through the copy of petition filed by way of e-filing. However, before the order was transcribed by the concerned P. A., physical

copy of the petition was tendered, which was apparently bearing same Criminal Writ Petition No. 222 of 2024 and obviously, the prayers from that compilation were reproduced by the P.A. in paragraph No. 2 of the order. Physical compilation was not made available to us. It appears that erroneously it was tendered and error has been perpetuated in paragraph No. 2 of the order.

4. We dispose of the motion by directing that in paragraph No. 2 of the minutes dated 20 March 2024 prayers from present petition be replaced and fresh order be uploaded.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.] [MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

bsb / April 24