



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

14 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1010 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL NO. 1806 OF 2024

JANABAI KUNDLIK KADAM

VERSUS

THE G.M.I.D.C. THROUGH ITS EXECUTIVE ENGINEER MINOR
IRRIGATION DIVISION OSMANABAD AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Vivekanand V. Ingale – Advocate for Applicant

Mr. Ranjit B. Gaikwad – Advocate for Respondent No.1

Mr. P.D. Patil – AGP for Respondent Nos.2 and 3, State

....

AND

15 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4834 OF 2024
IN FIRST APPEAL NO. 3412 OF 2022

MAHADEV BHIMRAO KADAM

VERSUS

THE G.M.I.D.C. THROUGH ITS EXECUTIVE ENGINEER MINOR
IRRIGATION SUB DIVISION OSMANABAD AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Vivekanand V. Ingale – Advocate for Applicant

Mr. Ranjit B. Gaikwad – Advocate for Respondent No.1

Mr. P.D. Patil – AGP for Respondent Nos.2 and 3, State

....

AND

16 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5013 OF 2024
IN FIRST APPEAL NO. 3302 OF 2022

VIKRAM RAMBHAU SURWANSI AND OTHERS

VERSUS

THE G.M.I.D.C. THROUGH ITS EXECUTIVE ENGINEER MINOR
IRRIGATION DIVISION OSMANABAD AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Vivekanand V. Ingale – Advocate for Applicant

Mr. Ranjit B. Gaikwad – Advocate for Respondent No.1

Ms. R.R. Tandale – AGP for Respondent Nos.2 and 3, State

....

AND

17 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5019 OF 2024
IN FIRST APPEAL NO. 3363 OF 2022

SHIVAJI BHAGWAN LONDHE AND OTHERS

VERSUS

THE G.M.I.D.C. THROUGH ITS EXECUTIVE ENGINEER MINOR
IRRIGATION SUB DIVISION OSMANABAD AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Vivekanand V. Ingale – Advocate for Applicant

Mr. Ranjit B. Gaikwad – Advocate for Respondent No.1

Mr. R.K. Ingole – AGP for Respondent Nos.2 and 3, State

....

CORAM : KISHORE C. SANT, J.

DATE : 20th DECEMBER, 2024

PER COURT :

1. These applications are for directions to appellant/acquiring body to deposit remaining amount of compensation alongwith interest. It is submitted by the learned Counsel for respondent No.1/ acquiring body that, some amount is already deposited in this Court. The remaining amount is not paid because of calculation work.

2. The interest is awarded by the learned Reference Court from the date of possession instead of date of award, in view of judgment in the case of State of Maharashtra Vs. Kailash Shiva Rangari reported in 2016 (4) ALL MR 513. Hence, following order :

ORDER

- (a) The applications are allowed.
- (b) The acquiring body is directed to deposit the amount in the office of this Court within twelve (12) weeks alongwith interest be calculated from the date of award instead of notification.
- (c) With this the civil applications are disposed of.

[KISHORE C. SANT, J.]