



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3898 OF 2020
IN FAST/3522/2019

Sayedali Mohhamadali Hawaldar
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Ors

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3891 OF 2020
IN FAST/3460/2019

Mohammadali Khajaali Hawaldar
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Ors

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3899 OF 2020
IN FAST/3520/2019

Haidarali Rahmatali Hawaldar
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Ors

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3892 OF 2020
IN FAST/3525/2019

Farooqali Munwarali Hawaldar
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Ors

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3893 OF 2020
IN FAST/3531/2019

Asifali Khajalli Hawaldar
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Ors

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3897 OF 2020

IN FAST/3535/2019

Kashimali Rehmatali Hawaldar
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Ors

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3896 OF 2020
IN FAST/3538/2019

Abbasali Munwarali Hawaldar
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Ors

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3895 OF 2020
IN FAST/3540/2019

Bashaali Rahmatali Hawaldar
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Ors

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3894 OF 2020
IN FAST/3542/2019

Islamali Khajaali Hawaldar
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Ors

...
Mr. V. G. Sakolkar, Advocate for Applicant
Mr. D. B. Bhanage, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2
Mr. N. L. Jadhav, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

...

CORAM : R.M. JOSHI, J
DATE : FEBRUARY 09, 2024

COMMON ORDER :

1. These applications are filed for condonation of delay of 2067 days in preferring appeals against

judgments and award 04.03.2013 passed in land acquisition references.

2. Applicants claim that they do not have knowledge about the judgments and award and they could get said knowledge in the month of September, 2018. It is further claimed that Applicants have received the amount of compensation in the month of September, 2019 and thereafter, they could arrange funds for preferring appeal.

3. Learned AGP and learned counsel for acquiring body have opposed the applications on the ground that no satisfactory reason is given for condonation of delay.

4. Appeals sought to be filed by applicants arises out of compulsory acquisition of land. It is the right of the claimants to seek just and fair compensation in respect of the said compulsory acquisition. This Court finds no mala fides on the part of the applicants in not preferring appeals in time.

5. Having regard to these facts, applications deserve to be allowed. Hence, applications are allowed in terms of prayer clause 'B' respectively. Applicants

would not be entitled to get interest for the period of delay, if they succeed in Appeals. Appeals be registered.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

Malani