

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

BAIL APPLICATION NO. 204 OF 2024

Mohiniraj J@ Munna Ashok Koli

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Abhaysinh K. Bhosle

APP for Respondent/State : Ms. S.S. Joshi

...

CORAM : S.G. MEHARE, J.

DATED : JUNE 12, 2024

PER COURT:-

1. The applicant seeks bail in Crime No.622 of 2019 registered with MIDC Police Station, District Jalgaon for the offence punishable under Sections 302 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
2. After hearing the parties at length, the Court expressed disinclination to grant bail as prima facie evidence is against the applicant. However, the facts show that the applicant is languishing in jail since 2019 and the matter did not progress since he was not produced from the jail. The matter reached upto the framing of the charges. The charges may be framed through video conferencing. The learned Additional Sessions Judge should not change the stage of framing charges though there are other applications, which have no direct effect on framing of charges and proceed with the matter. The miscellaneous applications appears to have been filed by the

prosecution (Exhibit-67) which appears to have no concern or any hurdle in framing of charges. Therefore, the District Judge-4 and Additional Sessions Judge, Jalgaon is directed to frame the charge forthwith on video conferencing, if not produced before the Court.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he would instruct the counsel for the applicant representing in the Trial Court not to oppose framing of charges on video conferencing. After framing of charges, the Sessions Court should list the matter in expedite category and dispose it of at the earliest.

4. For the above reasons, the application stands dismissed.

(S.G. MEHARE, J.)