



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

928 WRIT PETITION NO. 1495 OF 2024

DATTATRAY RAVAN LOTAN PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms. Megha Mali h/f. Mathpati Shivkumar K
AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. S.B. Narwade
Advocate for Resp./Z.P. : Mr. S.R. Dheple
...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
Y.G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.
DATE : 6th February, 2024

PC. :-

1. The learned advocate for the Petitioner submits that a representation application has been filed and the CEO is expected to decide the same. Further, if the Petitioner is aggrieved by his order, he can then approach the Additional Divisional Commissioner.
2. The learned advocate for the Zilla Parishad submits that the application would be considered strictly in accordance with the scheme applicable.
3. In view of the above, **this petition is disposed off** by recording the above statements. Let the Zilla Parishad deal with the said application as may be permissible in law within a period of 90 days.

[Y.G. KHOBRAGADE, J.]

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.]