

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

1069 CONT. PETITION NO. 93 OF 2024

IN WP/6815/2023

WITH

CONT. PETITION NO. 94 OF 2024

IN WP/6598/2023

WITH

CONT. PETITION NO. 97 OF 2024

IN WP/6815/2023

WITH

CONT. PETITION NO. 95 OF 2024

IN WP/6880/2023

ASHRUBA BALAJI MAGAR

VERSUS

DR SANJAY BELSARE

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Thote Dhananjay Kakasaheb

AGP for Respondent Nos. 2, 3 & 5: Mr. G.A. Kulkarni

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.

DATE : 03.04.2024

PER COURT :

By the order of which implementation has been sought by the petitioners the earlier award was set aside and the respondent- Land Acquisition Officer was directed to consider the effect of Section 26 of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation, Resettlement Act, 2013 (the Act), as set out in the judgment and order and after contemplating availability of the sale instances or otherwise within 60 days. It was also made specifically clear that if the petitioners were aggrieved by the award remedy under Section 64 of the Act would be available to the petitioners.

2. The learned A.G.P tenders across the bar copies of the awards passed

in four matters wherein, effect of Section 26 of the Act has been apparently considered. Since the order has been implemented, nothing survives.

3. The contempt petitions are disposed of. Copies of the awards are taken on record and marked 'X' for the purpose of identification.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

mkd/-