



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

906 WRIT PETITION NO. 1607 OF 2021

SAGAR GANGAYYA ANNAMWAR

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Sunil M. Vibhute
AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 : Ms. V. N. Patil Jadhav

...

AND

919 WRIT PETITION NO.1590 OF 2021

GANGAYYA DEVANNA ANNAMWAR HTR POWER FO ATTORNEY
HOLDER SAGAR GANGAYYA ANNAMWAR

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Sunil M. Vibhute
AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 : Ms. V. N. Patil Jadhav

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR ,
JJ.

DATE : 14.12.2024

PER COURT :

Petitioners are father and son and challenging a common order passed by respondent No.2 – Scrutiny Committee in a proceeding under Section 7 of the Maharashtra Act No.XXIII of 2001, whereby, their ‘Mannervarlu’ scheduled tribe certificates, instead of validating those have been confiscated and cancelled.

2. We have heard both the sides.

3. The impugned order is a common order in the matter of these two petitioners and petitioner Gangayya's daughter Ashwini.
4. Ashwini Gangayya Annamwar challenged the selfsame judgment and order in Writ Petition No.4569 of 2021. By the order dated 17.10.2023, for the reasons recorded therein, she was held entitled to have a certificate of validity, which was made co-terminus with the validity of the blood relatives which the committee has decided to reopen.
5. Since it is a common order, these writ petitions are also allowed partly. The impugned order is quashed and set aside even to the extent of the petitioners.
6. The committee shall immediately issue certificates of validity to both the petitioners of 'Mannervarlu' scheduled tribe which shall be co-terminus with the validity of the individuals which the committee has decided to reopen.
7. The petitioners shall not be entitled to claim equities.

(PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

jagdish/