



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

928 WRIT PETITION NO. 8559 OF 2024

NETAJI SUBHASHCHANDRA BOSE SHIKSHAN AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH
THE SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for petitioner : Mr. M.P. Tripathi
AGP for the respondent – State : Mr. V.M. Jaware
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : 13 AUGUST 2024

PC :

Heard.

2. Petitioners' proposals seeking approval to their appointments came to be rejected by the respondent No. 3 – Education Officer (Secondary) Zilla Parishad, Nanded vide communication dated 23 November 2023 only on the ground that the procedure as contemplated by the Government Resolution dated 20.06.2018 was not followed.

3. We have already taken a view by **order dated 10.06.2024 in Writ Petition No. 13150 of 2022** in the matter of ***Shaikh Jaweria Khadarsab Vs. The State of Maharashtra and others*** that the Education Officer/Deputy Director of Education has to conduct objective scrutiny of the proposal and it cannot be rejected only on the ground that procedure as contemplated by the Government Resolution was not followed.

4. In that view of the matter, we dispose of the petition by quashing the communication dated 23 November 2023 and directing the respondent No.3- Education Officer (Secondary) Zilla Parishad, Nanded to reconsider the proposals of the petitioners for grant of approval to their appointments on its own merits. The decision shall be taken as expeditiously as possible and in any case within a period of four (04) weeks from today. However, same shall not be rejected on the grounds mentioned in the impugned communication.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME]
JUDGE

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE

arp/