



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

SECOND APPEAL NO. 372 OF 1995

**LAXMANRAO VITHALRAO PATIL AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
SHANKAR VITHALRAO PATIL AND ANOTHER**

....
Ms Vishakha Patil, Advocate h/f Mr. S. B. Talekar, Advocate for
appellants

....

CORAM : Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, J.

DATE : 10 APRIL 2024

PER COURT :-

1. Ms Patil, the learned Counsel holding for Mr. Talekar, the learned Counsel for the appellant has tendered a xerox copy letter dated 20.03.2014 issued to the appellants for discharging of appearance. The postal track report is produced on record, which shows that on 03.04.2024, the item is delivered to the appellant Nos. 1 and 2 and service of letter is effected. However, none appeared.

2. The appearance of the learned Counsel for the appellants is hereby discharged.

3. Since the Counsel for the appellants has withdrawn his appearance and no one Counsel is engaged, which shows that the appellants having no interest while prosecuting the matter. Therefore, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

[Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.]

SMS