



Corrected Copy
Corrections have been carried out in view of the speaking
to minutes order dated 01.03.2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 166 OF 2023

Ramesh Laxmanrao Naik and others .. Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

Shri A. B. Kharosekar, Advocate for the Applicants.
Shri M. M. Nerlikar, Addl.P.P. for the Respondent.

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL AND
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.
DATE : 28 FEBRUARY 2024.

FINAL ORDER :

. When we expressed our disinclination to grant any relief in favour of the applicants, the learned advocate for the applicants, on instructions seeks leave to withdraw the criminal application with liberty to apply for discharge. The criminal application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for only to the applicant Nos. 1, 3 and 4.

2. The learned advocate for the applicants submits that the application to the extent of applicant No. 2 has been filed erroneously. He had already made such an attempt by filing Criminal Application No. 7146 of 2016. He therefore on

instructions seeks leave to withdraw the application to his extent simplicitor.

3. The criminal application is disposed of as withdrawn to his extent.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.] [MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

bsb/Feb. 24