

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

915 SECOND APPEAL NO. 366 OF 1995

**RAMPRASAD MADHAVLAL PORWAL
VERSUS
RADHAKISHAN MADHAVLAL PORWAL AND OTHERS**

...

None for the appellant.

Mrs. Sawari Patil, Advocate holding for Mr. M.M. Patil,
Advocate for respondent No.2

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 26 JUNE 2024.

ORDER:-

1. None for the appellant.
2. The appeal is too old. No steps are taken by the appellant against deceased respondent Nos.3 and 5. Moreover, despite service of notice on court motion, the appellant did not care to remain present. As such, it appears that appellant is not interested in proceeding with this appeal. In view of the same, the appeal stands dismissed for want of steps.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)