

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

940 SECOND APPEAL NO. 486 OF 1992

**RADHAKISHAN SHALIGRAM UNECHA.
VERSUS
MARUTI DAGDU SUDRIK AND OTHERS.**

...

None for the appellant.
V.S. Bedre, Advocate for respondent No.1.

...

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : 25 January 2024**

ORDER:-

. None for the appellant. Record shows that on two occasions the matter was fixed for dismissal, if not worked out. On the last occasion, this Court had granted last chance since the learned Counsel for appellant remained absent. Today also nobody is present on behalf of the appellant. Thus, it seems that the appellant is not interested in proceeding with the matter. As such, the second appeal stands dismissed for default.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)