



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

984 BAIL APPLICATION NO. 48 OF 2024

TOFIK MITTHU PATHAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Dnyaneshwar Raosaheb Kale.

APP for Respondent/State : Mr. K. S. Patil.

Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. Yogesh H. Lagad.

...

CORAM : **SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.**

DATE : 08th March, 2024.

P.C.:

1 Since respondent No.2 has engaged an advocate of her choice, Ms. Namita Sirdeshpande, learned appointed counsel is discharged from appearing on behalf of respondent No.2.

2 After hearing the learned counsel for applicant and the learned APP for the State, when this Court expressed disinclination to grant relief, the learned counsel for applicant, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw this application.

3 Leave granted. The application is disposed of as withdrawn.

4 The learned counsel for applicant further submitted that the trial may be expedited.

5 Already there are six cases, which are expedited, out of which, one is expedited by the Honourable Supreme Court and five are expedited by this Court. Today itself this Court has also given directions to the same Court at Kopargaon to decide one sessions case after those six cases are concluded. Considering this factual aspect, the Trial Court is directed to decide this sessions case as expeditiously as possible after those seven cases are decided.

[SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.]

nga