



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**25 SECOND APPEAL NO. 250 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4241 OF 2017
IN SA/250/2017**

**SYED MOINUDDIN SYED MOHIUDDIN
VERSUS
VAISHALI DURGADAS MULE AND OTHERS**

...
Advocate for Appellant : Mr. S. L. Bhapkar
Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 : Mr. Sanket
Suryawanshi h/f Mr. G.K. Naik Thigle
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. A.I. Deshmukh
...

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : August 19, 2024**

ORDER:-

1. During the pendency of the appeal, the parties have arrived at settlement and accordingly two compromise terms in this appeal were entered into. Those compromise terms vide order dated 31.07.2024 were referred to the learned Registrar (Judicial) of this Court for verification of the contents. Accordingly, two separate reports both dated 08.08.2024 of Registrar (Judicial) along with those compromise terms are received. As per those reports, the two compromise terms appear to be voluntarily made.

2. Therefore, those compromise terms are accepted and marked as "X" and "X-1" and the appeal is disposed of in terms

of those compromise terms. Decree be drawn in terms of those compromise terms. Court fees be refunded to the appellant according to rule.

3. Pending Civil Application if any are also disposed of.
4. Appeal is accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)

Y.S. Kulkarni