IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION NO. 4306 of 2024
(FOR REGULAR BAIL - BEFORE CHARGESHEET)

==========

ANOPSINH DHULABHAI SOLANKI
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT

==========

Appearance:
MR PM DAVE(263) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR SAFWANKHAN M SINDHI(9889) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
for the Respondent(s) No. 2
MR RONAK RAVAL APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

==========

CORAM:**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DIVYESH A. JOSHI**

Date : 04/03/2024

ORAL ORDER

1. Rule. Learned APP waives service of notice of rule for respondent – State of Gujarat.

2. The present application is filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for regular bail in connection with the FIR being C.R. No.11207079240036/2024 registered with the Halol Rural Police Station, Panchmahal for the offence punishable under Sections 306 and 114 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned advocate for the applicant submitted that the so-called incident has taken place for the period between 16.01.2024 to 17.01.2024, for which, the FIR has been lodged on 24.01.2024 and the applicant has been arrested in connection with the same on 29.01.2024 and since then, he is in judicial custody. Learned advocate submitted that the present application is preferred before

submission of the chargesheet but now almost investigation is concluded. Learned advocate submitted that FIR is registered against total three accused persons and there are gross delay of more than seven days. Learned advocate submitted that the applicant is father-in-law and the co-accused i.e. sister-in-law, who is attributed identical role, has been considered by the concerned sessions court. Learned advocate further submitted that the allegations leveled are general and vague in nature. It is, therefore, urged that considering the nature of the offence and on the principle of law of parity, the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail by imposing suitable conditions.

4. Learned APP for the respondent-State has opposed grant of regular bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence. It is submitted that the role of the present applicant is clearly spelt out from the papers of the chargesheet and, hence, the present application may not be entertained.

5. Learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties do not press for further reasoned order.

6. I have heard the learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties and perused the papers of the investigation and considered the allegations levelled against the applicant and the role played by the applicant. It is found out from the record that the present application is

preferred after submission of the chargesheet and now almost investigation is completed and the applicant is in jail since 24.01.2024. I have considered the role attributed to the present applicant as well as the co-accused, who has been considered by the concerned sessions court. Therefore considering the above factual aspects and on the ground of parity, the present application deserves to be allowed.

7. This Court has also taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Sanjay Chandra v. Central Bureau of Investigation**, reported in [2012] 1 SCC 40 as well as in case of **Satender Kumar Antil v. Central Bureau of Investigation & Anr.** reported in **(2022) 10 SCC 51.**

8. In the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of the allegations made against the applicant in the FIR, without discussing the evidence in detail, *prima facie*, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicant on regular bail.

9. Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on regular bail in connection with the FIR being C.R. No.11207079240036/2024 registered with the Halol Rural Police Station, Panchmahal on executing a personal bond of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with one surety of the like amount

to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he shall;

[a] not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;

[b] not act in a manner injuries to the interest of the prosecution;

[c] surrender passport, if any, to the lower court within a week;

[d] not leave the State of Gujarat without prior permission of the Sessions Judge concerned;

[e] mark presence before the concerned Police Station on alternate Monday of every English calendar month for a period of six months between 11:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m.;

[f] furnish the present address of residence to the Investigating Officer and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change the residence without prior permission of this Court;

10. The authorities will release the applicant only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the concerned Sessions Judge concerned will be free to issue warrant or take appropriate action in the matter. Bail bond to be executed before the lower Court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above conditions, in accordance with law.

11. At the trial, the trial Court shall not be influenced by the observations of preliminary nature qua the evidence at this stage made by this Court while enlarging the applicant on bail.

12. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.

(DIVYESH A. JOSHI, J.)

Gautam