

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD
R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR QUASHING & SET ASIDE
FIR/ORDER) NO. 2914 of 2022

=====

CHAUHAN NEHABEN BHAVINBHAI
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

MRS SANGEETA N PAHWA(527) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
SIDDHI V VADODARIYA(9533) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR VARUN RAI, ADVOCATE FOR MR KUNAL S SHAH(5282) for the
Respondent(s) No. 2
MS CHETNA SHAH, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ILESH J. VORA

Date : 21/02/2024

ORAL ORDER

1. Heard Ms. Siddhi Vadodariya, learned counsel for the applicant, Ms. Chetna Shah, learned APP for the respondent-State and Mr. Varun Rai, learned counsel for Mr. Kunal Shah, learned counsel for respondent No.2-original complainant.

2. **Rule.** Learned advocates appearing for the respective respondents waive service of Rule on behalf of the respective respondents.

3. Considering the issue involved in the present application and with consent of the learned advocates appearing for the respective parties as well as considering the fact that the dispute amongst the applicant and respondent No.2 has been resolved amicably, this matter is taken up for final disposal

forthwith.

4. By way of this application under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter referred to as "the Code"), the applicant has prayed for quashing and setting aside **FIR being C.R. No.11190007220001 of 2022 registered with Mahila Police Station, Botad, Dist: Botad**, for the offences as mentioned in the FIR as well as other consequential proceedings arising thereto.

5. Learned counsel for the respective parties submitted that during pendency of the criminal proceedings, as referred to above, the parties have amicably settled their issue by way of mutual settlement and pursuant to understanding arrived at between them, the Respondent No.2 has accordingly filed an affidavit, which is ordered to be taken on record. The complainant has categorically stated in the affidavit that the dispute is resolved between them and she has no objections if the present proceedings are quashed and there is no surviving grievance between them.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the respective parties, considering the facts and circumstances arising out of the present applications as well as taking into consideration the decisions rendered in the cases of **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr.**, reported in **(2012) 10 SCC 303**, **Madan Mohan Abbot Vs. State of Punjab**, reported in **(2008) 4 SCC 582**, **Nikhil Merchant Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation & Anr.**, reported in **2009 (1) GLH 31**, **Manoj Sharma Vs. State & Ors.**, reported in **2009 (1) GLH 190**

and **Narinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab & Anr.** reported in **2014 (2) Crime 67 (SC)**, it appears that further continuation of criminal proceedings in relation to the impugned FIR against the applicants would be unnecessary harassment to the applicants. It appears that the trial would be futile and further continuance of the proceedings pursuant to the impugned FIR would amount to abuse of process of law and Court and hence, to secure the ends of justice, the impugned FIR and other consequential proceedings are required to be quashed and set aside in exercise of powers conferred under Section 482 of the Code.

7. Resultantly, this application is allowed. The impugned **FIR being C.R. No.11190007220001 of 2022 registered with Mahila Police Station, Botad, Dist: Botad** as well as other consequential proceedings are hereby quashed and set aside qua the applicant herein. Accordingly, Rule is made absolute. Direct service is permitted.

(ILESH J. VORA,J)

TAUSIF SAIYED