IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION NO. 255 of 2024
(FOR REGULAR BAIL - AFTER CHARGESHEET)

==========

SAMIR @ SALIM @ SALIYO @ KATAR AMADBHAI JUNEJA
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT

==========

Appearance:
M S PADALIYA(7406) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MS SHRUTI PATHAK APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

==========

CORAM:**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DIVYESH A. JOSHI**

Date : 25/01/2024

ORAL ORDER

1. Rule. Learned APP waives service of notice of rule for respondent – State of Gujarat.

2. The present application is filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for regular bail in connection with the FIR being C.R. No.11208052230710/2023 registered with the Bhaktinagar Police Station, Rajkot City for the offence punishable under Sections 386, 504 and 506(2) of the Indian Penal Code and under Sections 40 and 42 of the Money Lending Act.

3. Learned advocate for the applicant submitted that the so-called incident has taken place from 01.02.2023 to 01.11.2023, for which, the FIR has been lodged on 01.11.2023 and the applicant has been arrested in connection with the same on 08.12.2023 and since then, he is in judicial custody. Learned advocate submitted that now the investigation is completed and after submission of

the chargesheet, the present application is preferred. Learned advocate submitted that so far as the allegations leveled against the applicant is concerned, it is alleged that the applicant has paid certain amount to the complainant and was demanding huge interest on the said amount and as the complainant has not paid principal amount as well as interest, the applicant has snatched away car as well as golden chain from the custody of the complainant. Learned advocate submitted that only with a view to avoid repayment of the amount taken from the applicant – accused, aforesaid FIR has been lodged as a pressurize tactics. It is, therefore, urged that considering the nature of the offence and the role attributed to the present applicant, the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail by imposing suitable conditions.

4. Learned APP for the respondent-State has opposed grant of regular bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence. It is submitted that the role of the present applicant is clearly spelt out from the papers of the chargesheet and, hence, the present application may not be entertained.

5. Learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties do not press for further reasoned order.

6. I have heard the learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties and perused the papers of the investigation and considered the allegations levelled against the applicant and the

role played by the applicant. It is found out from the record that the present application is preferred after submission of the chargesheet and now the investigation is completed and the applicant is in jail since 08.12.2023. I have considered the role attributed to the present applicant at the time of commission of crime. Therefore considering the above factual aspects, the present application deserves to be allowed.

7. This Court has also taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Sanjay Chandra v. Central Bureau of Investigation**, reported in [2012] 1 SCC 40 as well as in case of **Satender Kumar Antil v. Central Bureau of Investigation & Anr.** reported in **(2022) 10 SCC 51.**

8. In the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of the allegations made against the applicant in the FIR, without discussing the evidence in detail, *prima facie*, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicant on regular bail.

9. Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on regular bail in connection with the FIR being C.R. No.11208052230710/2023 registered with the Bhaktinagar Police Station, Rajkot City on executing a personal bond of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with one surety of the like

amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he shall;

[a] not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;

[b] not act in a manner injuries to the interest of the prosecution;

[c] surrender passport, if any, to the lower court within a week;

[d] not leave the State of Gujarat without prior permission of the Sessions Judge concerned;

[e] mark presence before the concerned Police Station on alternate Monday of every English calendar month for a period of six months between 11:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m.;

[f] furnish the present address of residence to the Investigating Officer and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change the residence without prior permission of this Court;

10. The authorities will release the applicant only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the concerned Sessions Judge concerned will be free to issue warrant or take appropriate action in the matter. Bail bond to be executed before the lower Court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above conditions, in accordance with law.

11. At the trial, the trial Court shall not be influenced by the observations of preliminary nature qua the evidence at this stage made by this Court while enlarging the applicant on bail.

12. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.

(DIVYESH A. JOSHI, J.)

Gautam