IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

BEFORE

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE ACHAL KUMAR PALIWAL

ON THE 3rd OF JANUARY, 2024

MISC. APPEAL No. 5635 of 2022

BETWEEN:-

BHAGIRATHI LOHAR S/O SHRI HANSHU LOHAR, AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS, OCCUPATION: AGRICULTURIST VILLAGE NAVA NAGAR POLICE STATION WAIDHAN DISTRICT SINGRAULI (MADHYA PRADESH)

.....PETITIONER

(NONE)

AND

1. **NORTHERN COAL FIELD LIMITED THROUGH ITS CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER NIGAHI PROJECT DISTRICT SINGRAULI (MADHYA PRADESH)**

2. **BINDA DEVI W/O AWADH BIHARI SINGH VILLAGE NAVA NAGAR POLICE STATION WAIDHAN DISTRICT SINGRAULI (MADHYA PRADESH)**

3. **RAJNATH VISHWAKARMA S/O LATE SHRI DADU VISHWAKARMA VILLAGE NAVA NAGAR POLICE STATION WAIDHAN DISTRICT SINGRAULI (MADHYA PRADESH)**

4. **LALAN VISHWAKARMA S/O LATE SHRI DADU VISHWAKARMA VILLAGE NAVA NAGAR POLICE STATION WAIDHAN DISTRICT SINGRAULI (MADHYA PRADESH)**

5. **SHITAL VISHWAKARMA S/O LATE SHRI DADU VISHWAKARMA VILLAGE NAVA NAGAR POLICE STATION WAIDHAN DISTRICT SINGRAULI (MADHYA PRADESH)**

6. **SMT. AKBARI W/O LATE KEDARNATH VISHWAKARMA VILLAGE NAVA NAGAR POLICE STATION WAIDHAN DISTRICT SINGRAULI (MADHYA PRADESH)**

Signature Not Verified
Signed by: VAISHALI AGRAWAL
Signing time: 1/5/2024 9:51:02 AM

7. VIYALAL VISHWAKARMA S/O LATE KEDARNATH VISHWAKARMA VILLAGE NAVA NAGAR POLICE STATION WAIDHAN DISTRICT SINGRAULI (MADHYA PRADESH)

8. CHHOTELAL VISHWAKARMA S/O LATE KEDARNATH VISHWAKARMA VILLAGE NAVA NAGAR POLICE STATION WAIDHAN DISTRICT SINGRAULI (MADHYA PRADESH)

9. RUKUN DEVI W/O LATE DANBAHADUR VISHWAKARMA VILLAGE NAVA NAGAR POLICE STATION WAIDHAN DISTRICT SINGRAULI (MADHYA PRADESH)

10. RAJENDRA PRASAD S/O LATE KEDARNATH VISHWAKARMA VILLAGE NAVA NAGAR POLICE STATION WAIDHAN DISTRICT SINGRAULI (MADHYA PRADESH)

.....RESPONDENTS

(NONE)

This appeal coming on for order this day, the court passed the following:

ORDER

Perusal of the order-sheets reveals that on previous date i.e. on 05.12.2023 no one appeared on behalf of the appellant. Today also, no one appeared on behalf of the appellant.

It appears that appellant is not interested in prosecuting the present appeal. Therefore, present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(ACHAL KUMAR PALIWAL)
JUDGE

vai

Signature Not Verified
Signed by: VAISHALI AGRAWAL
Signing time: 1/5/2024 9:51:02 AM