IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

BEFORE

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE SANJAY DWIVEDI

ON THE 19th OF JANUARY, 2024

WRIT PETITION No. 232 of 2024

BETWEEN:-

RAVI SHANKAR PARAUHA S/O LATE SHRI RAM PRATAP PARAUHA, AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS, OCCUPATION: ASSISTANT TEACHER POSTED GOVT. PRIMARY SCHOOL MATWARI SANKUL KENDRA GOVT. HR. SEC. SCHOOL PIPRODH DISTRICT KATNI (MADHYA PRADESH)

.....PETITIONER

(BY SHRI V.D.S. CHOUHAN - ADVOCATE)

AND

1. **THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH THROUGH THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY SCHOOL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT VALLABH BHAWAN, BHOPAL (MADHYA PRADESH)**

2. **JOINT DIRECTOR PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS JABALPUR DIVISION JABALPUR (MADHYA PRADESH)**

3. **DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER DISTRICT KATNI (MADHYA PRADESH)**

4. **SANKUL PRINCIPAL GOVT. HR. SEC. SCHOOL PIPRODH DISTRICT KATNI (MADHYA PRADESH)**

.....RESPONDENTS

(BY SHRI PUNEET SHROTI - GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE)

This petition coming on for admission this day, the court passed the following:

ORDER

By the instant petition, the petitioner is claiming that in the counselling

Signature Not Verified
Signed by: ANIL CHOUDHARY
Signing time: 1/19/2024 6:57:56 PM

scheduled on 03.10.2023, though he was in the list of selected candidates, but since he was not informed about the date and place of the said counselling by respondent No.4, therefore, he could not participate in the same.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has made a representation to the District Education Officer, Katni, asking that in absence of supplying proper information, he could not participate in the said counselling and, therefore, he may be permitted to participate in the same, but that representation is still pending and has not been decided so far. He submits that the District Education Officer Katni vide letter dated 10.02.2023 had instructed all the Principals of Government Higher Secondary School, Katni, for supplying the information to the selected candidates about the date and place of counselling, but according to the petitioner, the respective Principal i.e. respondent No.4 has not intimated the petitioner and, therefore, he could not participate in the counselling.

3. The petition is accordingly disposed of directing respondent No.3 to consider the pending representation of the petitioner and take an appropriate decision thereon within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order in accordance with law.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case. It is for respondent No.3 to ascertain whether information was conveyed to the petitioner or not and if really not, the proper decision be taken by the said authority in accordance with law.

5. With the aforesaid, the petition stands **disposed of**.

(SANJAY DWIVEDI)
JUDGE

Signature Not Verified
Signed by: ANIL CHOUDHARY
Signing time: 1/19/2024 6:57:56 PM

ac/-

Signature Not Verified
Signed by: ANIL CHOUDHARY
Signing time: 1/19/2024 6:57:56 PM