

1
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT GWALIOR**

**BEFORE
HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE SUNITA YADAV
ON THE 10th OF JANUARY, 2024
MISC. CRIMINAL CASE No. 57869 of 2023**

BETWEEN:-

**RIHAN UDDIN S/O SHRI RIYAZUDDIN, AGED ABOUT 23
YEARS, VILLAGE GAJAKHEDI, TEHSIL AND DISTRICT
VIDISHA (M.P.) (MADHYA PRADESH)**

.....APPLICANT

(SHRI NITIN GOYAL, LEARNED COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER).

AND

**THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH INCHARGE POLICE
STATION THROUGH THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER OF
POLICE STATION CIVIL LINE DISTRICT VIDISHA MP
(MADHYA PRADESH)**

.....RESPONDENTS

***(SHRI PRABHAT PATERIYA - P.P.- APPEARING ON BEHALF OF
ADVOCATE GENERAL).***

.....
*This application coming on for admission hearing this day, the court
passed the following:*

ORDER

This is the **first** application filed by the applicant under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. for grant of bail relating to FIR No. 819 of 2023 registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Vidisha (M.P.) for the offence under Sections 8/21 of NDPS Act.

As per prosecution story, short facts of the case are that the police apprehended the applicant and recovered from his possession 12 grams of brown sugar, for which, he was not having any valid and effective license.

Learned counsel for the applicant argued that applicant is an innocent

person and has been falsely implicated. He further argued that applicant is the only earning member of his family. The mandatory provisions of NDPS Act has not been followed while seizing the contraband items. The applicant has no criminal antecedents. The applicant is in custody since 08/12/2023. Investigation stands completed by filing of the charge sheet and further custodial interrogation of the applicant may not be required. The applicant is permanent resident of District Vidisha. Conclusion of trial is likely to take time and there is no likelihood of his absconsion, if released on bail. On these grounds, he prays for grant of bail to the applicant.

Per contra, learned counsel for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and prayed for its dismissal.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the case diary available on record.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, but without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, this application is allowed and it is directed that the applicant be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond in the sum of **Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Only) with two local solvent sureties** in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

This order will remain operative subject to compliance of the following conditions by the applicant:-

- 1) The applicant will comply with all the terms and conditions of the bond executed by him;
- 2) The applicant will cooperate in the investigation/trial, as the case may be;
- 3) The applicant will not indulge himself in extending inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the Police Officer, as

the case may be;

4) The applicant will not commit any other offence or will not repeat the offence in future. In case, if he/she is found involving in the offence of the same nature, this bail order shall stand cancelled automatically without further reference to the Bench.

5) The applicant will not seek unnecessary adjournments during the trial; and

6) The applicant will not leave India without previous permission of the trial Court/Investigating Officer, as the case may be.

Learned State counsel is directed to send an e-copy of this order to the Station House Officer of the concerned Police Station for information and necessary action.

E- copy of this order be sent to the trial Court concerned for compliance, if possible, by the office of this Court.

Certified copy as per rules.

(SUNITA YADAV)
JUDGE

Durgekar