

1
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT GWALIOR**

**BEFORE
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE MILIND RAMESH PHADKE**

ON THE 19th OF JANUARY, 2024

WRIT PETITION No. 1402 of 2024

BETWEEN:-

**RAMMURTI SHARMA S/O SHRI KRISHNA SHARMA,
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS, OCCUPATION: LABOUR WORK
HAVELI MARG WARD NO 12 ASHOKNAGAR DISTRICT
ASHOKNAGAR (MADHYA PRADESH)**

.....PETITIONER

(BY SHRI D.S. CHAUHAN - ADVOCATE)

AND

- 1. THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH THROUGH
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY VALLABH BHAWAN
BHOPAL (MADHYA PRADESH)**
- 2. MANAGING DIRECTOR M.P. STATE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD 26, ARERA
HILLS, KISAAN BHAWAN, BHOPAL (MADHYA
PRADESH)**
- 3. DEPUTY DIRECTOR M.P. AGRICULTURAL
MARKETING BOARD REGIONAL OFFICE,
GWALIOR (MADHYA PRADESH)**
- 4. SECRETARY KRISHI UPAJ MANDI SAMITI,
ASHOKNAGAR ASHOKNAGAR (MADHYA
PRADESH)**

.....RESPONDENTS

(SHRI SUSHANT TIWARI - GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE FOR STATE)

.....
*This petition coming on for admission this day, the court passed the
following:*

ORDER

The present petition, under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India,

has been filed by the petitioner seeking following reliefs:-

- "(i) That, the present petition filed by the petitioner may kindly be allowed;
- (ii) That, the respondents may kindly be directed to reengage the petitioner provisionally on the daily wages till as per circular;
- (iii) That, the respondents may kindly be directed to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of resolution dated 30.7.2013 Annexure P/6;
- (iv) That, any other just, suitable and proper relief, which this Hon'ble Court deems fit, may also kindly be granted to the petitioner. Costs be also awarded in favour of the petitioner."

2. At the outset, Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in respect of the grievance of the petitioner, he wants to prefer a representation before the respondents/authorities who may be directed to decide his representation in the light of resolution dated 30.7.2013 Annexure P/6 in a time bound manner.

3. Per contra, learned Government Advocate appearing for the the State has submitted that if the petitioner files a representation then the same will be considered and decided in accordance with law within a time bound period.

4. Looking to the limited prayer made by the counsel for the petitioner which is not opposed by the counsel for the State, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a representation alongwith all relevant documents before the concerned respondents/competent authorities, who in turn are directed to decide the same in the light of resolution dated 30.7.2013 Annexure P/6 within a period of **four weeks** from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the petition is **disposed off**.

7. E-copy/certified copy as per rules.

(MILIND RAMESH PHADKE)
JUDGE

pwn*

