

1
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT GWALIOR**

**BEFORE
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE ANAND PATHAK**

ON THE 17th OF JANUARY, 2024

WRIT PETITION No. 504 of 2024

BETWEEN:-

**MURLIRAJ TRANSPORT COMPANY THROUGH
MURLIDHAR GUPTA S/O LATE SHRI NANADLAL GUPTA,
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS, NUTAN NAGAR KHARGONE
MP (MADHYA PRADESH)**

.....PETITIONER

(BY SHRI NEERENDRA SHARMA - ADVOCATE)

AND

- 1. THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH THROUGH
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY BHOPAL (MADHYA
PRADESH)**
- 2. PRINCIPAL SECRETARY THE STATE OF
MAHARASHTRA MUMBAI (MAHARASHTRA)**
- 3. THE SECRETARY STATE TRANSPORT AUTHORITY
MOTIMAHAL (MADHYA PRADESH)**
- 4. THE SECRETARY STATE TRANSPORT AUTHORITY
MTNL BUILDING FOUNTAIN BUILDING 2 5TH
FLOOR MG. ROAD FORT MUMBAI
(MAHARASHTRA)**

.....RESPONDENTS

(BY SHRI M.S. JADON - GOVT. ADVOCATE)

.....
*This petition coming on for admission this day, the court passed the
following:*

ORDER

1. The petitioner has raised a grievance that there is a Reciprocal Transport Agreement between the State of Madhya Pradesh and State of

Maharashtra in regard to grant of State Carriage temporary Permit No.937/STA/2023 from Indore to Jalgaon (Inter-State) covered by vehicles No.MP10-P0774 valid w.e.f. 01.12.2023 to 31.03.2024. Despite the application made for counter-signature, the STA Maharashtra is not counter-signing the permit nor permitting the petitioner to ply the vehicle in the portion of State of Maharashtra. As per the agreement dated 01.03.2007 between the State of Madhya Pradesh and State of Maharashtra, such denial on the part of respondent No.4 is unjust, arbitrary and illegal.

2. In view of such submissions, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 and 4 to take a decision on counter-signature of the permit under the provisions of Reciprocal Agreement notified dated 01.03.2007 within a period of 30 days of receipt of a certified copy of the order, which the petitioner shall be obliged to furnish to respondents No.2 and 4 within 07 days from today and when such order is furnished, the authorities of respondents No.2 and 4 shall either counter-sign the permit if it fulfills the requirements of the agreement dated 01.03.2007 or shall communicate the reasoning for not counter-signing the permit to the petitioner, to which the petitioner will be free to assail before the appropriate Forum. In the meanwhile, the petitioner will be permitted to ply his vehicle in accordance with terms and conditions of the permit and the said vehicle be not stopped on the ground of non-countersigning the permit by the State of Maharashtra subject to the condition that petitioner complies with all other conditions of the permit.

3. C.C. as per rules.

(ANAND PATHAK)
JUDGE

Van



VANDANA VERMA
2024.01.17
14:01:58 +05'30'

