IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 12604 of 2024

Banking Employees Housing Cooperative Society Ltd., Rourkela ..... ***Petitioner***

M/s. B. Bhuyan and associates, Advocates

Vs.

State of Odisha and others ..... ***Opposite Parties***

State Counsel

CORAM:
DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI
MR. JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY

ORDER
20.05.2024

Order No. 01.

This matter is taken up by hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

3. The Petitioner has filed this writ petition with the following prayer:

> *"Under these circumstances the Petitioner prays that your Lordships would be graciously pleased to admit the Writ Petition, and issue Rule NISI, calling upon the Opp. Parties to show cause as to why this writ application shall not be allowed and the Opp. Parties shall not be directed to register the rectification deed thereby correcting the erroneous Khata No. and Plot No. on the original lease deed which had taken place on their end and further not be directed to publish the RoR in the name of the Petitioner Society for the land in question, and after hearing, the said Rule may be made absolute by issuing the Writ of Mandamus against the Opp. Parties thereby directing them to register the rectification deed on payment of Rs 100/- towards stamp duty in order to correct the clerical errors committed by their officials in the lease of the Petitioner Society and further be directed to publish the RoR in the name of the Petitioner Society within a stipulated period as fixed by this Hon'ble Court for the ends of Justice and equity as the said Petitioner*

Society has already paid the premium of Rs. 62,88,000/- since 2010.

And further pleased to pass any other Writ/Writs, Order/Orders, Direction/Directions as would be deemed fit and proper to grant justice to the petitioner and to allow the Writ Application with cost throughout.

And for which act of kindness, the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray."

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner states that the Petitioner has already made representation before the Opposite Party No.3 vide Annexure-14, and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State have no objection.

5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties and after going through the records, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing Opposite Party No.3 to consider and dispose of the representation filed by the Petitioner vide Annexure-14 and pass appropriate order as early as possible within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

(G. SATAPATHY)
JUDGE

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: PRIYADARSHI SAHOO
Reason: Authentication
Location: HIGH COURT OF ORISSA
Date: 21-May-2024 13:53:37