IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No.7673 of 2024

Pradeep Kumar, S/o Late Sri Man Kumar, R/o Kalipur, Saraiyahat, Dumka, P.O. & P.S.-Saraiyahat, District-Dumka (Jharkhand)

..... Petitioner.

-Versus-

The State of Jharkhand ..... Opp. Party.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rahul Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Tarun Kumar, A.P.P.

Order No.03 **Date: 16.12.2024**

1. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Saraiyahat P.S. Case No.81 of 2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2)/127(2)/191(2)/191(3)/190/ 115(2)/109/118(2)/116 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, has prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. There is a case and counter case between the parties for the same occurrence. The petitioner allegedly assaulted the informant's son-Bhavesh Bhagat by sword and while saving himself one of his fingers got chopped of. The said allegation is, however, false and concocted. Though, the alleged incident took place on 4th July, 2024, however, the F.I.R. was lodged as late as on 9th July, 2024 without disclosing any cogent explanation for the said delay. Hence, the petitioner may be given the privilege of anticipatory bail.

3. Learned A.P.P., while opposing the petitioner's prayer for grant of anticipatory bail, submits that there is a direct allegation against the petitioner that he assaulted the informant's son-Bhavesh Bhagat by means of sword due to which one of his fingers got chopped of.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the aforesaid specific allegation made against the petitioner in the F.I.R., I am not inclined to enlarge him on anticipatory bail.

5. Accordingly, the present anticipatory bail application is dismissed.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

Arpit/