IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S) No. 6077 of 2024

Vijay Kumar Yadav, Aged about 20 Years, Son of –Raj Kumar Yadav, Resident of-Vill-Darha, P.O.-Chatra, P.S.-Chatra, Dist.-Chatra

…… Petitioner

Versus

1.The State of Jharkhand through the Principal Secretary, Home, Prison and Disaster Management, Govt. of Jharkhand having its office at Project Bhawan, P.O. & P.S.-Dhurwa, Dist.-Ranchi, Jharkhand
2.The Deputy Commissioner, Chatra, P.O., P.S. & District-Chatra
3.The Superintendent of Police, Chatra, P.O., P.S. & Dist.-Chatra

…… Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE S.N.PATHAK

For the Petitioner : Mr. Tapan Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Ranjan Kumar, AC to Sr. SC-I

02/ 09.12.2024 Heard the parties.

2. Petitioner has approached this Court for appointment to the post of Chowkidar pursuant to Advertisement No.1 of 2024.

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that suffice it would be if a direction is given to the petitioner to file fresh representation for redressal of his grievances and thereupon, respondents may be directed to pass reasoned order on his representation within a stipulated period of time.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the respondents very fairly submits that if this Court directs the petitioner to file fresh representation before the respondent-authorities, the respondents shall pass reasoned order on the same.

4. In view of fair submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, I hereby direct the petitioner to file fresh representation annexing all the relevant documents on which he is relying, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and after receiving the same alongwith the copy of this order, the respondents shall consider the grievances in accordance with law, taking into consideration the prevalent rules, guidelines and legal propositions and thereafter pass a speaking and reasoned order within

a further period of six weeks which shall also be communicated to the petitioner. If at all vacancies still exists his case shall be considered in accordance with law.

5. It goes without saying that if the petitioner is found entitled for the appointment as prayed for, the same may be extended to him within a further period of two weeks.

6. With these observations and directions, this writ petition stands disposed of.

(Dr. S.N. Pathak, J.)

Rohit/-