

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P. (S) No. 4984 of 2023

Ranjan Kumar aged about 46 years son of Late B.P. Pandey, resident of Suresh Colony, Hazaribagh, P.O. Sadar, P.S. Sadar, District-Hazaribagh

... .. **Petitioner**

Versus

1. Union of India through DIG-cum-CSC/RPF, South East Central Railway, New GM Building, Bilaspur (CG), P.O. and P.S. Bilaspur, Chhatisgarh
2. DIG-cum-CSC/RPF, South East Central Railway, New GM Building, Bilaspur (CG), P.O. and P.S. Bilaspur, Chhatisgarh
3. Deputy Chief Secretary Commissioner/RPF, South East Central Railway, New GM Building, Bilaspur (CG), P.O. and P.S. Bilaspur, Chhatisgarh
4. Assistant Security Commissioner-II/RPF, South East Central Railway, New GM Building, Bilaspur (CG), P.O. and P.S. Bilaspur, Chhatisgarh

... .. **Respondents**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Ms. Purna Jhunjhunwala, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. Anil Kumar, ASGI
Ms. Chandana Kumari, A.C. to ASGI

05/19.03.2024

Learned counsel for the parties are present.

2. This writ petition has been filed for the following reliefs:-

“For issuance of an appropriate writ, order, or direction for quashing the revision order dated 06.04.2023 passed by the Respondent no. 2 vide No. 34/2023 whereby and whereunder the Revision Petition filed by the petitioner has been dismissed,

And/Or

For Issuance of an appropriate writ, order, or direction for quashing the order dated 17.12.2020 passed by the Respondent no. 3 vide Force Order no. 103/2020, whereby and whereunder the appeal preferred by the petitioner against order dated 28.02.2020 was rejected being devoid of any merit,

And/Or

C. For issuance of an appropriate writ, order, or direction for quashing the disciplinary order dated 27.02.2020 passed by the Respondent no. 4 dated 28.02.2020, whereby and whereunder the Ld. Disciplinary Authority issued a major penalty of dismissal from service with immediate effect under Rule 153 of the RPF Rules, 1987 and the petitioner's suspension period 28.05.2019 till date is treated 'as such'

And/Or

d. For issuance of an appropriate writ, order, or direction to the respondent authorities to reinstate the petitioner and grant all consequential benefits to the petitioner.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset seeks permission to withdraw this writ petition to approach appropriate High Court. She submits that the cause of action of the present case does not arise within the jurisdiction of this Court.
4. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection to the prayer made.
5. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.
6. However, liberty is reserved with the petitioner to take steps as may be permissible under law.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Binit