IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(S) No. 1833 of 2024

Singhdeo Hembrom, aged about 52 years, son of Late Ram Chandra Hembrom, resident of Circuit House Road, Chaibasa, P.O. + P.S.- Chaibasa, District- West Singhbhum. …. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through its Secretary, School Education and Literacy Department (Primary Education Directorate), Ranchi.
2. Deputy Commissioner, Chaibasa, West Singhbhum,
3. District Superintendent of Education, Chaibasa, West Singhbhum.
4. Block Education Extension Officer, Barajhinpani Tonto, Chaibasa, West Singhbhum.
5. Principal Upgraded High School, Baihatu, Block Tonto, Chaibasa, West Singhbhum.

…. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE S.N. PATHAK

For the Petitioner : Mr. Birendra Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Raj Kishore Sahu, Advcoate
For the Respondents : Mr. Arun Kumar Dubey, AC to GP-III

3/ 28.11.2024 The petitioner has approached this Court with a prayer for direction upon the respondents to consider his case for payment of salary for the month of May, 2022 and to refund the amount of Rs. 9740/- and Rs. 16124/- which have been deducted from the salary of the petitioner for the month of March, 2022 and June, 2022.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that suffice it would be if a direction is given to the petitioner to file fresh representation for redressal of his grievance and upon receipt of the same, respondents may be directed to pass a reasoned order on his representation within a stipulated period of time.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents submits that if this Court directs the petitioner to file fresh representation before the respondent-authorities, the respondents shall pass reasoned order on the same.

4. In view of the fair submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, I hereby direct the petitioner to file fresh representation before the respondent no. 3 annexing all the relevant documents on which he is relying,

within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Upon receipt of the same, the respondent concerned shall consider the same in accordance with law and after giving ample opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, pass a speaking and reasoned order thereon, within a further period of eight weeks, which shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

5. It goes without saying that if the petitioner is found entitled for the benefits, as prayed for, the same may be extended to him within a further period of four weeks thereafter.

6. With the aforesaid observations and directions the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Dr. S. N. Pathak, J.)

R.Kr.