

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

CCP(D) No. 24/2024

In

WP(C) No. 2681/2022

Gautam Singh, Age 72 years
S/O Late Anant Ram,
R/O Lachkhazana Kuleed,
Tehsil and District Kisthwar.

....Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)

Through :- Mr. Touseef Zargar, Advocate vice
Mr. N D Qazi, Advocate.

V/s

Dr. Devansh Yadav
Deputy Commissioner,
Kistwar

....Respondent(s)

Through :- Mrs. Monika Kohli, Sr. AAG.

**Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TASHI RABSTAN, JUDGE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PUNEET GUPTA, JUDGE**

ORDER

01.07.2024

1. The contempt petition has been filed for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondent for willfully violating the order dated 13.12.2022 passed in WP(C) No. 2681/2022 titled 'Gautam Singh v. UT of J&K & Ors.'

2. The operative portion of the order dated 13.12.2022 reads as under:

"In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent no.2 to decide the representation stated to have been filed by the petitioner expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date copy of this order and representation made by the petitioner is served upon the respondent no.2."

3. Statement of facts have been filed contending therein that while complying with the directions passed by this court dated 13.12.2022, the representation filed by the petitioner has been considered and a speaking order dated 28.04.2023 has been passed whereby the claim of the petitioner is found devoid of any merits as per rules and, accordingly, the same has been rejected.

4. In view of the statement of facts filed by the respondent, nothing survives for adjudication in the present contempt petition and proceedings in the contempt petition are accordingly closed.

5. However, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the order dated 28.04.2023 if cause survives.

Jammu:
01.07.2024
Raj Kumar

(Puneet Gupta)
Judge

(Tashi Rabstan)
Judge

