

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

Case:- CPSW No. 380/2015 in
SWP No. 98/2013
c/w
CPSW No. 122/2015
CM No. 6285/2024

Wazir Hussain and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. M. Y. Akhoon, Advocate.

Vs

Shantmanu, Secy. Medical Education and
another

..... Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Raman Sharma, AAG.

Coram: **HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MOKSHA KHAJURIA KAZMI, JUDGE**

ORDER
(20.11.2024)

1. The instant contempt petitions have been filed for alleged non-compliance of order/judgment dated 20.11.2014 passed by this Court in the writ petition bearing SWP No. 98/2013 in the case titled "Kher Singh & others Vs. State of J&K & Others". The operative part of the order/judgment is extracted hereunder:

"5. The relief as restricted to the aforesaid situation is totally innocuous, therefore, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents that they shall accord consideration to the cases of petitioners in accordance with the applicable provisions as referred to hereinabove or in accordance with any other enabling provisions and to take a final decision within a period of two months from the date, a copy of this order is served on the respondents. The decision as shall be taken thereon be conveyed to the petitioners.

6. Furthermore, the respondents shall also accord consideration to the release of duly earned wages in favour of the petitioners in the light of the communication of the Director Health Services, Jammu dated 24.12.2023 addressed to Secretary to Govt. Health and Medical Education Department.”

2. Compliance report stands filed by the respondents, it is stated that the Administrative Department vide letter No. HD-Legal/276/2022-02(c.No. 224632) dated 12.07.2022 conveyed the decision of the Finance Department taken in the meeting with the advice to reject the claim of the petitioners for regularization of their services. The respondents have passed a speaking order thereby rejecting the claim of the petitioners, being devoid of merit.

3. The contempt proceedings in both the contempt petitions initiated against the respondents are **closed**. However, the petitioners are at liberty to challenge the consideration order, if they desire so.

(MOKSHA KHAJURIA KAZMI)
JUDGE

JAMMU
20.11.2024
Shivalee

Whether the order is speaking:	Yes/ No
Whether the order is reportable:	Yes/No