

**Calcutta High Court
In the Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri**

25.11.2024
Item No.70
Court No.1
(GB)
(Rejected)

CRM (DB) 616 of 2024

In Re: - An application for bail under section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/Section 483 of the B.N.S.S. 2023 in connection with **Maynaguri** Police Station Case No.485/2023 dated **22.12.2023** under Sections 302/34 of IPC.

And

In the matter of: **Aminul Islam**

....Petitioner.

Mr. Jaydeep Kanta Bhowmik,
Mr. Sayantan Bhowmik,
Mr. Shubham Kumar,
Ms. Moumita Shome
Ms. Sayantani Das

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Aditi Shankar Chakraborty,
Mr. Aniruddha Biswas

... for the State.

1. The learned advocate has renewed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.
2. On the basis of the complaint, Moynaguri Police Station Case No.485 of 2023 dated December 22, 223 under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code, was started against the petitioner.
3. The learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been languishing in custody for more than one year and the case has not yet been committed for trial.
4. The learned advocate for the State produces the case diary and opposes the prayer for bail. He submits that the case has already been committed and date has been fixed for examination of C.S.W.1.
5. Heard the learned advocates. Perused the materials on record.
6. Considering the gravity of the offence, the mode and manner in which the offence was committed and the recovery of the weapon on the basis of the disclosure statement of the petitioner, we find sufficient reason to

reject the prayer for bail. We are of the view that bail cannot be granted at this stage and accordingly, the prayer for bail is rejected.

7. The application for bail, being CRM (DB) 616 of 2024 is, thus, disposed of.
8. All parties shall act on the server copies of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

(Shampa Sarkar, J.)

(Partha Sarathi Chatterjee, J.)