

26.11.2024
Ct. No. 01
SL No. 16
Cp
(Rejected)

CALCUTTA HIGH COURT
IN THE CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI

CRM (NDPS) No. 503 of 2024

In Re: - An application for bail under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S. 2023.

And

In the matter of: Rofikul Haque @ Rafikul Haque

Mr. Prajnadipta Roy

Ms. Sohini Kundu

... for the petitioner

Mr. Aditi Shankar Chakraborty

Mr. Tapan Bhattacharjee

...for the State

1. The petitioner prays for bail on the ground that there is no possibility of conclusion of trial expeditiously. It is contended that the co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail.
2. Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the co-accused and the petitioner do not stand on the same footing. Charges have already been framed and there are 11 witnesses. The prosecution shall make a sincere endeavour to ensure speedy trial.
3. Heard the learned advocates of the respective parties and perused the materials on record including the C.D. It appears that commercial quantity of brown sugar was recovered from the petitioner's possession. The co-accused and the petitioner do not stand on the same footing. Under such circumstances, the prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.
4. The application for bail is, thus, disposed of.

(Partha Sarathi Chatterjee, J.)

(Shampa Sarkar, J.)