

23.09.2024

53
Ct. No. 29
S.D.
REJECTED

C.R.M.(A) 675 of 2024

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Tufanganj** Police Station Case No. **437 of 2024** dated **10.06.2024** under Sections **363/365/109 of the Indian Penal Code** and read with Section 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012.

And

In Re : **Golema Bibi @ Gole Bibi & Anr.**

..... petitioners

Mr. Sudip guha
...for the petitioner

Mr. Aditi Shankar Chakraborty, Ld. A.P.P.,
Mr. Sagnik Sarkar Sikdar
.... for the State

Parents of the juvenile who is principal accused are before us seeking anticipatory bail.

Juvenile was granted bail by the Juvenile Justice Board.

Victim, however, implicates both the petitioners before us who are the parents of the principal accused. Active role is attributed towards them.

Enlarging any of the petitioners on anticipatory bail will send a wrong signal to the society in the facts of the present cases.

In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners and the prayer for anticipatory bail petitioners is rejected.

The application being **CRM (A) 675 of 2024** is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)