

23.09.2024
49
Ct. No. 29
S.D.
REJECTED

C.R.M.(A) 650 of 2024

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Sahebganj** Police Station Case No. **401 of 2024** dated **10.07.2024** under Sections **126(2)/324(4)/117(2)/109/118(1)/303(2)/351(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023** and read with Section 25(1-A)/27 of the Arms Act.

And

In Re : **Umar Faruk Byapari @ Omor Faruk Bapari & Ors.**

..... petitioners

Mr. Sudip Guha

...for the petitioners

Mr. Abhijit Sarkar

Mr. S. Das

.... for the State

Dr. Arjun Chowdhury

Mr. Koushik Kumar Kanu

...for the defacto complainant

Petitioners pray for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that due to political rivalry, the petitioners were falsely implicated.

State and the defacto complainant are represented.

Learned advocate appearing for the defacto complainant refers to the injury report of the injured and the statements of the eye-witness recorded under Section 180 of the B.N.S., 2023.

Learned advocate appearing for the defacto complainant draws the attention of the Court to the photographs of the vehicle in which the injured were travelling when they were assaulted. The vehicle was vandalized and a sum in excess of Rs.2 lakh taken away.

Injured recorded a statement implicating the petitioners before us in the act of physical assault, vandalizing the vehicle in which they were travelling and snatching of the money. Injury report corroborates the version of the injured. Eye-witnesses corroborate the version of the injured.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners in the incident, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners and the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

The application being **CRM (A) 650 of 2024** is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)