

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

WPA 1058 of 2024

**JYOTSNA SARKAR @ JYOSNA SARKAR @ JOYSNA
SARKAR
Versus
THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL AND ORS.**

Mr. Kunaljit Bhattacharjee, Adv.
Mr. Alok Sah, Adv.

...for the petitioners

Mr. Subir Kumar Saha, Ld. AGP
Ms. Patralekha Chowdhury, Adv.

...for the state

Mr. Kunaljit Bhattacharjee, learned Counsel,
is appearing for the petitioner and Mr. Subir
Kumar Saha, learned Counsel, is appearing for
the State.

Affidavit of service filed by the petitioner be
kept with record.

The grievance of the petitioner is that just in
front of the house of the petitioner, the private
respondent has started construction on the
Panchayat road by affecting ingress and egress of
the petitioner in his house.

The petitioner has made a representation to
the Pradhan on 10th May, 2024 as well as the
BDO but till date the representation submitted by

the petitioner has not been considered and the private respondent is making construction over the Panchayat road.

This Court finds that no purpose would be served by keeping the writ petition pending. Accordingly, the writ is petition is disposed of by directing the BDO as well as the Pradhan that is the respondent Nos. 3 and 6 to consider the representation of the petitioner and to dispose of the representation by giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of the order.

WPA/1058/2024 is disposed of.

(Krishna Rao, J.)