

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI**

22.05.2024.
14/tkm

C.R.M. (A) 418 of 2024

In Re : An application for anticipatory bail under section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Dinhata P.S case no. 86 of 2024 dated 12.2.2024 under sections 341/323/325/326/379/506/34 IPC

And

In Re : Mijanur Haque ...petitioner

Mr. Partha Pratim Sarkar
Mr. Sudip Guho

...for the Petitioner

Mr. Abhijit Sarkar
Mr. Tapan Bhattachaerjee
Mr. Dhiman Shil

...for the State

1. Petitioner contends he has been falsely implicated. Co-accused have been granted anticipatory bail. He prays for similar relief.

2. Learned lawyer for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

3. We have considered the material on record including the statement of injured. He stated petitioner had assaulted him resulting in fracture of both his legs. He was hospitalized.

4. In view of the aforesaid materials on record we are of the opinion petitioner does not stand on the same footing with co-accused who have been granted anticipatory bail and his prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Ajay Kumar Gupta, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)