

24.04.2024
Court No.01
Item No. 07
Rejected

kc

**CIRCUIT BENCH OF CALCUTTA HIGH COURT
AT JALPAIGURI**

CRM (A) 325 of 2024

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Matigara** Police Station Case No. **279** of **2023** dated **11.04.2023** under Section 498A/364 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re: **Manojit Dey & Anr.**

Petitioners

Mr. Hillol Saha Podder

For the Petitioners

Mr. Tapan Bhattacharjee
Mr. Dhiman Sil

For the State

1. In course of his submission, Mr. Saha Poddar, learned advocate for the petitioners, at the very outset, draws attention of ours to the missing diary as lodged by the husband of the missing wife. It is contended that there was no foul play in the alleged crime and the present accused petitioners being the parents-in-law of the victim lady has been unnecessarily implicated in the instant case.
2. Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.
3. On perusal of the materials placed before this Court, it appears that it is the version of the informant, who is the mother of the victim that she apprehended that her daughter has been murdered by the present accused petitioners with the other FIR named accused persons. Admittedly, the victim lady has not yet been recorded.
4. In considered view of us, for effective investigation, the custodial interrogation of the present accused petitioners are necessary.

5. In such circumstances, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
6. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected.
7. **CRM (A) 325 of 2024** is, thus, disposed of.
8. All parties shall act on the server copies of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

(Soumen Sen, J)

(Partha Sarathi Sen, J)