

Court No. 2
03.9.2024
(Item No. 29)
(AB)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Circuit Bench At Jalpaiguri
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

W.P.A. 637 of 2024
+
CAN 1 of 2024

Benojir Mondal
VS
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Ms. Supriya Singh
..... for the petitioner
Mr. Subir Kumar Saha, Ld. AGP
Mr. Pradip Sarkar
.... For the State
Mr. Bikramaditya Ghosh
.... For respondent No. 2

In Re: **CAN 1 of 2024**

This is an application seeking a minor modification in the order dated April 4, 2024 passed by this Court. Under the said order the respondent No. 3 was directed to consider the case of the petitioner.

Both Ms. Supriya Singh, learned advocate appearing for the petitioner and Mr. Bikramaditya Ghosh, learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 2 in consensus submit that, it is the President of the respondent No. 2 who is the appropriate jurisdictional authority to consider the case of the petitioner. Accordingly, in place and stead of the expression respondent No. 3 used in the said order dated April 4, 2024, the expression should be read and understood as the President of the respondent No. 2 who shall

consider the case of the petitioner in the manner and mode as directed in the last order dated April 4, 2024 with another modification that the time period fixed in the said order dated April 4, 2024 **shall remain same but shall reckon from today.**

With the above modification this application being **CAN 1 of 2024** stand **disposed of.**

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)